| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/091,080 | HUNT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kevin M. Bernatz | 1773 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u> | | |
| (1) Kevin M. Bernatz. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Daniel Biesterveld</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 October 2006 | Time: <u>AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | • |
| | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: | · | • |
| 20,21 | <i>:</i> | |
| | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet | GENERAL NATURE OF WHA | FWAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | • | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | tion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| · . | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 12 1 h6 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that the disclosure indicated that the sonification was carried out at 300 W of continuous power at 20 kHz and that such conditions should be inserted into claims 20 and 21 to better clarify the claimed invention. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes...